

\mathcal{L} -INVARIANTS OF CM FIELDS

HARUZO HIDA

1. LECTURE 4

Let p be an odd prime. Let M/F be a totally imaginary quadratic extension of the base totally real field F . We study the adjoint square Selmer group when the Galois representation is an induction of a Galois character of $\mathfrak{G}_M := \text{Gal}(M^{(p)}/M)$. Put $\mathfrak{G}_F := \text{Gal}(M^{(p)}/F)$. For simplicity, we assume that $p > 2$ totally splits in M/\mathbb{Q} . We relate the Selmer group with a more classical Iwasawa module of a quadratic extension of F , and from the torsion property of the Selmer group already proven, we deduce some (new) torsion property of such classical Iwasawa modules.

1.1. Ordinary CM fields and their Iwasawa modules. Let O_M be the integer ring of M . We consider $Z = \varprojlim_n Cl_M(p^n)$ for the ray class group $Cl_M(p^n)$ of M modulo p^n . Let Δ be the maximal torsion subgroup of Z , and put $\Gamma_M = Z/\Delta$, which has a natural action of $\text{Gal}(M/F)$. We split $Z = \Delta \times \Gamma_M$. We define $\Gamma^+ = H^0(\text{Gal}(M/F), \Gamma_M)$ and $\Gamma^- = \Gamma_M/\Gamma^+$. Since $p > 2$, the action of $\text{Gal}(M/F)$ splits the extension $\Gamma^+ \hookrightarrow \Gamma_M \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma^-$, and we have a canonical decomposition $\Gamma_M = \Gamma^+ \times \Gamma^-$. Write $\pi^- : Z \rightarrow \Gamma^-$, $\pi^+ : \Gamma_M \rightarrow \Gamma^+$ and $\pi_\Delta : Z \rightarrow \Delta$ for the three projections. Take a character $\varphi : \Delta \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^\times$, and regard it as a character of Z through the projection: $Z \twoheadrightarrow \Delta$.

Let M_∞ be the composite of all \mathbb{Z}_p -extensions of M . Then by class field theory, M_∞ is the subfield of the ray class field of M modulo p^∞ fixed by Δ . Let $\mathbb{Q}_\infty/\mathbb{Q}$ be the cyclotomic \mathbb{Z}_p -extension. Let M_∞^{cyc} be the composite $M\mathbb{Q}_\infty/M$. Define M_∞^- (resp. M_∞^+) for the fixed subfield of Γ^- (resp. Γ^+). Since M_∞^{cyc} is abelian over F , we have $M_\infty^{cyc} \subset M_\infty^+$ and a projection $\pi_{cyc} : \Gamma^+ \rightarrow \text{Gal}(M_\infty^{cyc}/M) \subset 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p$. The Leopoldt conjecture for F asserts that π_{cyc} is an isomorphism; in other words, $M_\infty^+ = M_\infty^{cyc}$. The extension M_∞^-/M is called the anticyclotomic tower over M . Thus if the Leopoldt conjecture holds for F , M_∞ is the composite of the cyclotomic \mathbb{Z}_p -extension M_∞^{cyc} and the anticyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p^{[F:\mathbb{Q}]}$ -extension M_∞^- .

To introduce Iwasawa modules for the multiple \mathbb{Z}_p -extensions $M_\infty^?/M$, we fix a CM type Σ , which is a set of embeddings of M into $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that $I_M = \Sigma \sqcup \Sigma c$ for the

Date: May 8, 2006.

The fourth and the last lecture at Harvard University on May 8, 2006. The author is partially supported by an NSF grant: DMS 0244401 and DMS 0456252.

generator c of $\text{Gal}(M/F)$. Over \mathbb{C} , an abelian variety with complex multiplication by M has \mathbb{C} -points isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^\Sigma/\Sigma(\mathfrak{a})$ for a lattice \mathfrak{a} in M (see [ACM] 5.2), where $\Sigma(\mathfrak{a}) = \{(\sigma(a))_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \in \mathbb{C}^\Sigma \mid a \in \mathfrak{a}\}$. By composing i_p , we write Σ_p for the set of p -adic places induced by $i_p \circ \sigma$ for $\sigma \in \Sigma$. We assume

$$\text{(spt)} \quad \Sigma_p \cap \Sigma_p c = \emptyset.$$

This is to guarantee the abelian variety of CM type Σ to have ordinary good reduction modulo p (whose Galois representation is hence ordinary at all $\mathfrak{p}|p$).

Writing $M(p^\infty)$ for the ray class field over M modulo p^∞ , we identify Z with $\text{Gal}(M(p^\infty)/M)$ via the Artin reciprocity law. Fix a character φ of Δ . We then define M_Δ by the fixed field of Γ in $M(p^\infty)$; so, $\text{Gal}(M_\Delta/M) = \Delta$.

Since φ is a character of Δ , φ factors through $\text{Gal}(M_\infty^? M_\Delta/M)$ for $?$ indicating one of $+$, $-$, cy or “nothing”. When nothing is attached, it refers to the object for the full multiple \mathbb{Z}_p -extension M_∞ . Let $L_\infty^?/M_\infty^? M_\Delta$ be the maximal p -abelian extension unramified outside Σ_p . Each $\gamma \in \text{Gal}(L_\infty/M)$ acts on the normal subgroup $X^? = \text{Gal}(L_\infty^?/M_\infty^? M_\Delta)$ continuously by conjugation, and by the commutativity of $X^?$, this action factors through $\text{Gal}(M_\Delta M_\infty^?/M)$. Then we look into the compact p -profinite $\Gamma^?$ -module: $X^?[\varphi] = X^? \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta], \varphi} W$, where $\Gamma^? = \text{Gal}(M_\infty^?/M)$. We study when $X^?[\varphi]$ is a torsion Iwasawa module over $\Lambda^? = W[[\Gamma^?]]$. The module $X^?[\varphi]$ is generally expected to be torsion of finite type over $\Lambda^?$ for the naturally defined multiple \mathbb{Z}_p -extensions $M_\infty^?$.

The torsion property of $X^{cy}[\varphi]$ over Λ^{cy} is classically known (e.g., [HT2] Theorem 1.2.2). This implies

Theorem 1.1. *The modules $X[\varphi]$, $X^+[\varphi]$ and $X^{cy}[\varphi]$ are torsion modules over the corresponding Iwasawa algebra Λ , Λ^+ and Λ^{cy} , respectively.*

We refer this result to [HT2] Theorem 1.2.2 (which was originally due to R. Greenberg). We study the anticyclotomic Iwasawa module $X^-[\varphi]$ over Λ^- from our new view point of Galois deformation theory. As is well known, $X^-[\varphi]$ is a Λ^- -module of finite type, and under mild assumptions (including anticyclotomy of φ), we will prove the torsion property of $X^-[\varphi]$ in Theorem 1.3.

The Σ -Leopoldt conjecture for abelian extensions of M is almost equivalent to the torsion property of $X^-[\varphi]$ over Λ^- for all possible φ (see [HT2] Theorem 1.2.2). Here, for an abelian extension L/M with integer ring O_L , the Σ -Leopoldt conjecture asserts the closure $\overline{O_L^\times}$ of O_L^\times in $L_\Sigma = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \Sigma_p} L_{\mathfrak{p}}$ satisfies

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(O_L^\times \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\overline{O_L^\times} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p).$$

If $X^-[\varphi]$ is a torsion Λ^- -module, we can think of the characteristic element $\mathcal{F}^-(\varphi) \in \Lambda^-$ of the module $X^-[\varphi]$. The anticyclotomic main conjecture (cf. [HT] Conjecture 2.2) predicts the identity (up to units) of $\mathcal{F}^-(\varphi)$ and the projection of (the φ -branch of) the Katz p -adic L -function (constructed in [K] and [HT1]) under π^- .

1.2. Anticyclotomic Iwasawa modules. A character ψ of Δ is called *anticyclotomic* if $\psi(c\sigma c^{-1}) = \psi^{-1}(\sigma)$ for a complex conjugation $c \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/F)$. Fix an algebraic closure \overline{F} of F . Regarding φ as a Galois character, we define $\varphi^{-}(\sigma) = \varphi(c\sigma c^{-1}\sigma^{-1})$ for $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/M)$. Then $\psi := \varphi^{-}$ is anticyclotomic.

We define a Galois character $\tilde{\varphi} : \mathfrak{G}_F \rightarrow W[[\Gamma^{-}]]$ by $\tilde{\varphi}(\sigma) = \varphi(\sigma)(\sigma|_{M_{\infty}^-})^{1/2}$, where $(\sigma|_{M_{\infty}^-})^{1/2}$ is the unique square root of $(\sigma|_{M_{\infty}^-})$ in Γ^{-} and $(\sigma|_{M_{\infty}^-}) \in \Gamma^{-}$ is regarded as a group element in $\Gamma^{-} \subset W[[\Gamma^{-}]]$. Note that $\tilde{\varphi}^{-}(\sigma) = \tilde{\varphi}(c\sigma c^{-1}\sigma^{-1}) = \psi(\sigma)\sigma|_{M_{\infty}^-}$. Then we consider $\text{Ind}_M^F(\tilde{\varphi}) : \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F) \rightarrow GL_2(W[[\Gamma^{-}]])$. We write $\alpha_{M/F}$ for the quadratic character of $\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$ identifying $\text{Gal}(M/F)$ with $\{\pm 1\}$.

Lemma 1.2. *We have*

- (1) $\det(\text{Ind}_M^F \chi) = \alpha_{M/F} \chi|_{F_{\mathbb{A}}^{\times}}$ and $\text{Tr}(\text{Ind}_M^F \chi(Frob_{\mathfrak{l}})) = \sum_{\mathfrak{b} \subset \mathcal{O}_M, N_{M/F}(\mathfrak{b}) = \mathfrak{l}} \chi(\mathfrak{b})$ for a prime \mathfrak{l} of F unramified for $\text{Ind}_M^F \chi$, identifying a character χ of $\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/M)$ with a character of $M_{\mathbb{A}(\infty)}^{\times}/M^{\times}$ by the Artin symbol,
- (2) $\text{Ad}(\text{Ind}_M^F(\tilde{\varphi})) \cong \alpha_{M/F} \oplus \text{Ind}_M^F(\tilde{\varphi}^{-})$ as \mathfrak{G}_F -modules.

Since $\text{Ind}_M^F(\tilde{\varphi})|_{\mathfrak{G}_M} = \tilde{\varphi} \oplus \tilde{\varphi}_c$ with $\tilde{\varphi}_c(\sigma) = \tilde{\varphi}(c\sigma c^{-1})$, we define $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}^+ \text{Ind}_M^F \tilde{\varphi} = \tilde{\varphi}$ for $\mathfrak{p} \in \Sigma_p$. In Lecture 2, we have already defined $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\pm} \text{Ad}(\text{Ind}_M^F \tilde{\varphi})$ and the Selmer group $\text{Sel}_F(\text{Ad}(\text{Ind}_M^F \tilde{\varphi}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (W[[\Gamma^{-}]])^*)$. Since the image of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}^+(\text{Ad}(\text{Ind}_M^F \tilde{\varphi}))$ in $\alpha_{M/F}$ is trivial in the above decomposition in Lemma 1.2 and the image of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}^+(\text{Ad}(\text{Ind}_M^F \tilde{\varphi}))$ is given by $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}^+(\text{Ind}_M^F(\tilde{\varphi}^{-}))$, we get (cf. [HMI] Exercise 1.12 and Corollary 3.81)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sel}_F(\text{Ad}(\text{Ind}_M^F(\tilde{\varphi}) \otimes_{W[[\Gamma^{-}]]} (W[[\Gamma^{-}]])^*) \\ = \text{Sel}_F(\alpha_{M/F} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (W[[\Gamma^{-}]])^*) \oplus \text{Sel}_F(\text{Ind}_M^F(\tilde{\varphi}^{-}) \otimes_{W[[\Gamma^{-}]]} (W[[\Gamma^{-}]])^*) \\ = \text{Hom}(Cl_M^- \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} W[[\Gamma^{-}]], \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p) \oplus \text{Sel}_M((\tilde{\varphi}^{-}) \otimes_{W[[\Gamma^{-}]]} W[[\Gamma^{-}]])^*, \end{aligned}$$

where Cl_M^- is the quotient of CL_M by the image of Cl_F (the order of Cl_M^- is equal to the order of the $\alpha_{M/F}$ -eigenspace of Cl_M up to a power of 2). By the definition of the Selmer group, we note that

$$(1.1) \quad \text{Sel}_M(\tilde{\varphi}^{-} \otimes_{W[[\Gamma^{-}]]} (W[[\Gamma^{-}]])^*) \cong \text{Hom}(X^{-}[\varphi^{-}], \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p),$$

which shows

Theorem 1.3. *Let the notation be as above. Then we have*

$$\text{Sel}_F^*(\text{Ad}(\text{Ind}_M^F(\tilde{\varphi}))) \cong (Cl_M^- \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} W[[\Gamma^{-}]]) \oplus X^{-}[\varphi^{-}]$$

as $W[[\Gamma^{-}]]$ -modules. Moreover $X^{-}[\varphi^{-}]$ is a torsion $W[[\Gamma^{-}]]$ -module without exceptional zero if $\psi := \varphi^{-}$ satisfies the following conditions:

- (at1) The character ψ has order prime to p .
- (at2) The local character $\psi_{\mathfrak{P}}$ is non-trivial for all $\mathfrak{P} \in \Sigma_p$.
- (at3) The restriction ψ^* of ψ to $\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/M^*)$ for the composite M^* of M and the unique quadratic extension inside $F[\mu_p]$ is non-trivial.

The first assertion follows from the argument given as above. The torsion property follows from the theorem of Taylor–Wiles and Fujiwara and the propositions in the following appendix. In [HMI] Theorem 5.33, it is checked under assumptions milder than (at1–3) imply the assumption of the theorem of Taylor–Wiles and Fujiwara.

1.3. The \mathcal{L} -invariant of CM fields. Consider the universal couple (\mathcal{R}_F, ϱ) deforming $\rho_F = \text{Ind}_M^F \varphi \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_W}$ among W -deformations ρ_A into $GL_2(A)$ for proartinian W -algebras A with residue field W/\mathfrak{m}_W satisfying the following conditions

- (W1) unramified outside p ;
- (W2) $\rho_A|_{\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/F_p)} \cong \begin{pmatrix} * & \\ 0 & \alpha_{A,p} \end{pmatrix}$ with $\alpha_{A,p} \equiv \alpha_p \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_A}$ and $\alpha_{A,p} \alpha_p^{-1}|_{I_p}$ factoring through $\text{Gal}(F_p[\mu_{p^\infty}]/F_p)$;
- (W3) $\det(\rho_A) = \det \rho$;
- (W4) $\rho_A \equiv \overline{\rho} \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_A}$,

We know $\mathcal{R}_F \cong \mathbb{T}$ by Fujiwara (see Appendix). Since $\dim \text{Spf}(W[[\Gamma^-]]) = \dim \text{Spf}(\mathbb{T})$, $\text{Spf}(W[[\Gamma^-]])$ gives an irreducible component of $\text{Spf}(\mathcal{R}_F)$. Write $\mathbb{I} = W[[\Gamma^-]]$ simply. Let $\pi_{\mathbb{I}} : \mathbb{T} = \mathcal{R}_F \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$ be the projection (which factors through π^{cyc}). We would like to compute the \mathcal{L} -invariant of the component \mathbb{I} . Thus we need to compute $\mathbf{a}(p_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \pi_{\mathbb{I}}(U(p_{\mathfrak{p}}))$. The following fact follows from the fact $\text{Ind}_M^F \phi|_{\mathfrak{O}_M} = \phi \oplus \phi^c$.

Lemma 1.4. *Let the notation be as above. Then we have $\mathbf{a}(p_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \tilde{\varphi}([p_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_{\mathfrak{p}}])$ for the prime factor $\mathfrak{P} \in \Sigma_p^c$ of \mathfrak{p} .*

Define the character $\kappa : \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/M) \rightarrow (\Lambda^-)^\times$ by $\kappa(\sigma) = (\sigma|_{M_\infty})^{1/2}$. Then $\tilde{\varphi} = \lambda\kappa$, and we write $\kappa_{\mathbb{I}} = \pi_{\mathbb{I}} \circ \kappa : \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/M) \rightarrow \mathbb{I}^\times$. Then, $\kappa_{\mathbb{I}}$ restricted to the inertia group $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$ at \mathfrak{P} factors through the projection: $I_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_p[\mu_{p^\infty}]/\mathbb{Q}_p) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$. Since the $W[[\Gamma_F]]$ -algebra structure of \mathbb{I} is induced by the nearly ordinary character of $\text{Ind}_M^F \kappa_{\mathbb{I}}$ (restricted to the inertia group $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$), for $u_{\mathfrak{p}} \in O_{M, \mathfrak{p}}^\times$ ($\mathfrak{P} \in \Sigma_p^c$), we have

$$(1.2) \quad \kappa_{\mathbb{I}}([u_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_{\mathfrak{p}}]) = (1 + X_{\mathfrak{p}})^{-\log_p(N_{\mathfrak{p}}(u_{\mathfrak{p}}))/\log_p(\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}})},$$

where $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{P} \cap O$, $N_{\mathfrak{p}} : M_{\mathfrak{p}} = F_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$ is the norm map and $\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the generator of $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} := (1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p) \cap N_{\mathfrak{p}}(O_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times)$. Choose an element $\alpha(\mathfrak{P}) \in M$ so that $\mathfrak{P}^h = (\alpha(\mathfrak{P}))$ for each $\mathfrak{P} \in \Sigma_p^c$, where $h = |Cl_M|$ (the class number of M). Then $p_{\mathfrak{p}}^h = u_{\mathfrak{p}} \alpha(\mathfrak{P})_{\mathfrak{p}}^{e(\mathfrak{p})}$ with $u_{\mathfrak{p}} \in O_{M, \mathfrak{p}}^\times$ for the absolute ramification index $e(\mathfrak{p})$ of \mathfrak{p} (which is the absolute ramification index of \mathfrak{P} also). Regarding $\kappa_{\mathbb{I}}$ as a character of $M_{\mathbb{A}(\infty)}^\times/M^\times$ by class field theory, we have $\kappa_{\mathbb{I}}(\alpha(\mathfrak{P})) = 1 = \kappa_{\mathbb{I}}(\alpha(\mathfrak{P})_{\mathfrak{l}})$ with the \mathfrak{l} -component $\alpha(\mathfrak{P})_{\mathfrak{l}} \in M_{\mathfrak{l}}^\times$ for any prime \mathfrak{l} outside p , because $\kappa_{\mathbb{I}}(O_{\mathfrak{l}}^\times) = 1$ and $\alpha(\mathfrak{P}) \in M^\times$. Then we have

$$\kappa_{\mathbb{I}}(p_{\mathfrak{p}}^h) = \kappa_{\mathbb{I}}(p_{\mathfrak{p}}^h \alpha(\mathfrak{P})^{-e(\mathfrak{p})}) = \kappa_{\mathbb{I}}(u_{\mathfrak{p}}) \prod_{\mathfrak{P}'|p, \mathfrak{P}' \neq \mathfrak{P}} \kappa_{\mathbb{I}}(\alpha(\mathfrak{P})_{\mathfrak{P}'}^{-e(\mathfrak{p})}),$$

where $\alpha(\mathfrak{P})_{\mathfrak{P}'}$ is the \mathfrak{P}' -component of $\alpha(\mathfrak{P}) \in M^\times \subset M_{\mathbb{A}}^\times$. By (1.2), we get

$$\kappa_{\mathbb{I}}(p_{\mathfrak{P}}^h) = (1 + X_{\mathfrak{p}}) \frac{\log_p(N_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha(\mathfrak{P})^{-e(\mathfrak{p})c} u_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}))}{\log_p(\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}})} \prod_{\mathfrak{P}' \in \Sigma_p^c - \{\mathfrak{P}\}} (1 + X_{\mathfrak{p}'}) \frac{e(\mathfrak{p}) \log_p(N_{\mathfrak{p}'}(\alpha(\mathfrak{P})_{\mathfrak{P}'}^{1-c}))}{\log_p(\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}'})},$$

where $\mathfrak{p}' = \mathfrak{P}' \cap O$. Here \log_p is the Iwasawa p -adic logarithm defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p^\times$ characterized by $\log_p(p) = 0$. In particular, we have

$$\log_p(N_{\mathfrak{p}}(u_{\mathfrak{P}})) = \log(N_{\mathfrak{p}}(p_{\mathfrak{P}}^h \alpha(\mathfrak{P})_{\mathfrak{P}}^{-e(\mathfrak{p})})) = -e(\mathfrak{p}) \log_p(N_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha(\mathfrak{P})_{\mathfrak{P}})).$$

Thus we have

Lemma 1.5. *Let the notation be as above. Then we have, for primes $\mathfrak{P}' \in \Sigma_p$ and $\mathfrak{p}' = \mathfrak{P}' \cap O$,*

$$\frac{\partial \kappa(p_{\mathfrak{P}})}{\partial X_{\mathfrak{p}'}} = \frac{e(\mathfrak{p}) \log_p(N_{\mathfrak{p}'}(\alpha(\mathfrak{P})_{\mathfrak{P}'}^{(1-c)}))}{h \log_p(\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}'})} \kappa(p_{\mathfrak{p}}) (1 + X_{\mathfrak{p}'})^{-1}.$$

We have $\mathbf{a}(p_{\mathfrak{p}}) = c_{\mathfrak{p}} \kappa(p_{\mathfrak{p}})$ for a nonzero constant $c_{\mathfrak{p}} \in W^\times$, because the nearly ordinary character of $\text{Ind}_M^F \tilde{\varphi}$ is κ times a character of $D_{\mathfrak{P}}$ with values in W^\times . We do not need to pay much attention to the constant $c_{\mathfrak{p}}$, because the formula of the \mathcal{L} -invariant only involve

$$\left(\prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} \mathbf{a}(p_{\mathfrak{p}})^{-1} \delta_{\mathfrak{p}}([\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}, F_{\mathfrak{p}}]) \right) \det \left(\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{a}(p_{\mathfrak{p}})}{\partial X_{\mathfrak{p}'}} \right)_{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}'} \right)$$

in which the constant $c_{\mathfrak{p}}$ cancels out. Specializing the above formula to the locally cyclotomic point P , we get

Theorem 1.6. *Let the notation and the assumption be as above and as in Theorem 1.3, including (at1–4). Then we have, for any specialization $\tilde{\varphi}_P$ of $\tilde{\varphi}$ modulo a locally cyclotomic point $P \in \text{Spf}(\mathbb{I})(W)$,*

$$\mathcal{L}(\text{Ad}(\text{Ind}_M^F \tilde{\varphi}_P)) = \det \left(\left(\log_p(N_{\mathfrak{p}'}(\alpha(\mathfrak{P})_{\mathfrak{P}'}^{(1-c)})) \right)_{\mathfrak{P}, \mathfrak{P}' \in \Sigma_p^c} \right) \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} \frac{e(\mathfrak{p})}{h},$$

where $\mathfrak{p} = O \cap \mathfrak{P}$ and $\mathfrak{p}' = O \cap \mathfrak{P}'$

By Lemma 1.2 (2) and Theorem 1.3, we see

$$\mathcal{L}(\text{Ad}(\text{Ind}_M^F \tilde{\varphi}_P)) = \mathcal{L}(\alpha_{M/F}),$$

and this is the reason for the independence of $\mathcal{L}(\text{Ad}(\text{Ind}_M^F \tilde{\varphi}_P))$ on the choice of the locally cyclotomic points P . If $F = \mathbb{Q}$, we have $\alpha(\mathfrak{P})\alpha(\mathfrak{P})^c = p^h$ and hence $\log_p(\alpha(\mathfrak{P})) = -\log_p(\alpha(\mathfrak{P})^c)$. Thus $\log_p(\alpha(\mathfrak{P})^{1-c}) = 2\log_p(\alpha(\mathfrak{P}))$, and therefore the above formula coincides with the classical analytic \mathcal{L} -invariant formula for $\alpha_{M/F}$ of Ferrero–Greenberg.

For a given ordinary CM type (M, Σ_p) , we can choose ψ satisfying the assumptions of Theorems 1.3 and 1.6. Then through the above process, we can compute $\mathcal{L}(\alpha_{M/F})$ as follows:

Corollary 1.7. *Suppose that M/F is an ordinary CM-quadratic extension of M satisfying (spt). Choose a p -ordinary CM-type Σ of M . Then the \mathcal{L} -invariant $\mathcal{L}(\alpha_{M/F})$ of Greenberg for the quadratic Galois character $\alpha_{M/F} = \left(\frac{M/F}{\cdot}\right)$ is given by*

$$\det \left(\left(\log_p \left(N_{\mathfrak{p}'} \left(\alpha(\mathfrak{P})_{\mathfrak{P}'}^{(1-c)} \right) \right) \right)_{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}' \in \Sigma_p^c} \right) \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} \frac{e(\mathfrak{p})}{h},$$

where h is the class number of M , $\mathfrak{p}' = \mathfrak{P}' \cap O$ and $\alpha(\mathfrak{P})$ is a generator of $\mathfrak{P} \in \Sigma_p^c$. If the prime p does not split in F/\mathbb{Q} , the \mathcal{L} -invariant of $\alpha_{M/F}$ does not vanish.

A regulator similar to the above determinant was introduced long ago in [FeG] (3.8) in the context of (classical) cyclotomic Iwasawa's theory.

2. APPENDIX: DIFFERENTIAL AND ADJOINT SQUARE SELMER GROUP

2.1. Adjoint square Selmer groups and differentials. Recall the **universal** nearly ordinary deformation $\rho : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/F) \rightarrow GL_2(R)$ over K with the pro-Artinian local universal K -algebra R . This means that for any Artinian local K -algebra A with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m}_A and any Galois representation $\rho_A : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/F) \rightarrow GL_2(A)$ such that

- (K1) unramified outside p ;
- (K2) $\rho_A|_{\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/F_p)} \cong \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & \alpha_{A,p} \end{pmatrix}$ with $\alpha_{A,p} \equiv \alpha_p \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_A}$;
- (K3) $\det(\rho_A) = \det \rho$;
- (K4) $\rho_A \equiv \rho \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_A}$,

there exists a unique K -algebra homomorphism $\varphi : R \rightarrow A$ such that $\varphi \circ \rho \cong \rho_A$. We write $\Phi_K(A)$ the collection of the isomorphism classes of the deformations ρ_A .

Let $\bar{\rho} = (\rho \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_W})$, and consider a similar deformation changing base ring from K to W . Then we have a universal couple (\mathcal{R}, ϱ) as long as (ai_F) $\bar{\rho}$ is absolutely irreducible and (ds) $\bar{\rho}^{ss}$ is not scalar-values over D_p for all $\mathfrak{p}|p$ (these assumptions we always assume). This means that for any pro-Artinian local W -algebra A with $A/\mathfrak{m}_A = W/\mathfrak{m}_W = \mathbb{F}$ for the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m}_A and any Galois representation $\rho_A : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/F) \rightarrow GL_2(A)$ such that

- (W1) unramified outside p ;
- (W2) $\rho_A|_{\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/F_p)} \cong \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & \alpha_{A,p} \end{pmatrix}$ with $\alpha_{A,p} \equiv \alpha_p \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_A}$;
- (W3) $\det(\rho_A) = \det \rho$;
- (W4) $\rho_A \equiv \bar{\rho} \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_A}$,

there exists a unique W -algebra homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow A$ such that $\varphi \circ \varrho \cong \rho_A$. We write $\Phi(A)$ the collection of the isomorphism classes of this finer deformations ρ_A . Thus $\Phi(A) \cong \text{Hom}_{W\text{-alg}}(\mathcal{R}, A)$.

Let $\tilde{\rho} \in \Phi(A)$ acting on \tilde{L} . Define

$$(2.1) \quad \tilde{T} = \left\{ \phi \in \text{End}_A(\tilde{L}) \mid \text{Tr}(\phi) = 0 \right\}.$$

We let $\sigma \in \mathfrak{G}_F$ act on $v \in \tilde{T}$ by conjugation $v \mapsto \tilde{\rho}(\sigma)v\tilde{\rho}(\sigma)^{-1}$. As before, \tilde{T} has the following three step filtration stable under $D_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for each prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}|p$ of F :

$$(2.2) \quad \tilde{T} \supset \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}^-\tilde{T} \supset \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}^+\tilde{T} \supset \{0\}.$$

Let $\mathbb{Z}_p^* = \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)$ and $A^* = \text{Hom}(A, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)$. We thus have $\text{Sel}_F(V/T) = \text{Sel}_F(T \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p^*)$ for $V/T := T \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$, and we also have

$$\text{Sel}_F(\text{Ad}(\tilde{\rho})) = \text{Sel}_F(\tilde{T} \otimes_A A^*) = \text{Ker}(H^1(\mathfrak{G}, \tilde{T} \otimes_A A^*) \rightarrow \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} H^1(I_{\mathfrak{p}}, \frac{\tilde{T} \otimes_A A^*}{\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}^+\tilde{T} \otimes_A A^*}))$$

for $\tilde{\rho} \in \Phi(A)$ and the inertia subgroup $I_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset \mathfrak{G}$. Note that $D_{\mathfrak{p}}$ acts trivially on $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}^-V/\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}^+V$. We often indicate this fact by writing $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}^-V/\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}^+V \cong K$ as $D_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -modules.

Proposition 2.1. *Suppose that Φ has a universal couple $(\mathcal{R}_F, \varrho_F)$. Then the Pontryagin dual $\text{Sel}_F^*(V/T)$ is canonically isomorphic to the module of 1-differentials $\Omega_{\mathcal{R}_F/W[[\Gamma_F]]} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_F, \varphi} W$, where $\varphi : \mathcal{R}_F \rightarrow W$ is the W -algebra homomorphism such that $\rho \cong \varphi \circ \varrho_F$. More generally, for any $\tilde{T} \in \Phi(A)$, we have*

$$\text{Sel}_F^*(\tilde{T} \otimes_A A^*) = \text{Hom}(\text{Sel}_F(\tilde{T} \otimes_A A^*), \mathbb{Z}_p^*) \cong \Omega_{\mathcal{R}_F/W[[\Gamma_F]]} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_F, \phi} A,$$

where $\phi : \mathcal{R}_F \rightarrow A$ is the W -algebra homomorphism such that $\tilde{\rho} \cong \phi \circ \varrho_F$.

This proposition is from [MFG] Theorem 5.14. Here *Kähler 1-differentials* are supposed to be continuous with respect to the profinite topology.

Here is a sketch of a **proof**: Write simply (\mathcal{R}, ϱ) for $(\mathcal{R}_F, \varrho_F)$. Let $\Phi = \Phi^{n.\text{ord}, \nu}$; so, $\Phi(A) \cong \text{Hom}_{W\text{-alg}}(\mathcal{R}, A)$. For simplicity, we assume that X be a profinite \mathcal{R} -module, (in general, we take an inductive limit of such modules). Then $\mathcal{R}[X]$ is an object in CL_W . We consider the W -algebra homomorphism $\xi : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}[X]$ with $\xi \bmod X = \text{id}$. Then we can write $\xi(r) = r \oplus d_{\xi}(r)$ with $d_{\xi}(r) \in X$. By the above definition of the product, we get $d_{\xi}(rr') = rd_{\xi}(r') + r'd_{\xi}(r)$ and $d_{\xi}(W) = 0$. Thus d_{ξ} is a W -derivation, i.e., $d_{\xi} \in \text{Der}_W(\mathcal{R}, X)$. For any derivation $d : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow X$ over W ,

$r \mapsto r \oplus d(r)$ is obviously a W -algebra homomorphism, and we get

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \{\tilde{\rho} \in \Phi(\mathcal{R}[X]) \mid \tilde{\rho} \bmod X = \varrho\} / \approx_X \\ \cong \{\tilde{\rho} \in \Phi(\mathcal{R}[X]) \mid \tilde{\rho} \bmod X \approx \varrho\} / \approx \\ \cong \{\xi \in \text{Hom}_{W\text{-alg}}(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}[X]) \mid \xi \bmod X = \text{id}\} \\ \cong \text{Der}_W(\mathcal{R}, X) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\Omega_{\mathcal{R}/W}, X), \end{aligned}$$

where “ \approx_X ” is conjugation under $(1 \oplus M_n(X)) \cap GL_2(\mathcal{R}[X])$, and “ \approx ” is conjugation by elements in $GL_2(\mathcal{R}[X])$.

Let $\tilde{\rho}$ be the deformation in the left-hand side of (2.3). Then we may write $\tilde{\rho}(\sigma) = \varrho(\sigma) \oplus u'(\sigma)$ (here $u'(\sigma)$ is a “derivative” of $\tilde{\rho}(\sigma)$). We see

$$\varrho(\sigma\tau) \oplus u'(\sigma\tau) = (\varrho(\sigma) \oplus u'(\sigma))(\varrho(\tau) \oplus u'(\tau)) = \varrho(\sigma\tau) \oplus (\varrho(\sigma)u'(\tau) + u'(\sigma)\varrho(\tau)).$$

Define $u(\sigma) = u'(\sigma)\varrho(\sigma)^{-1}$, which is a cocycle with values on $M_2(X)$ by the above formula. Since $\det \tilde{\rho} = \det \rho = \det \varrho$, $x(\sigma) = \tilde{\rho}(\sigma)\varrho(\sigma)^{-1}$ has values in $SL_2(\mathcal{R}[X])$, u has values in $Ad(X) = L(Ad(\varrho)) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} X$. Hence $u : \mathfrak{G}_F^S \rightarrow Ad(X)$ is a 1-cocycle. It is a straightforward computation to see the injectivity of the map:

$$\{\tilde{\rho} \in \Phi(\mathcal{R}[X]) \mid \tilde{\rho} \bmod X \approx \varrho\} / \approx_X \hookrightarrow H^1(\mathfrak{G}_F^S, Ad(X))$$

given by $\tilde{\rho} \mapsto [u]$. We put $\mathcal{F}_p^\pm(Ad(X)) = \mathcal{F}_p^\pm L(Ad(\varrho)) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} X$. Since $\varrho|_{I_p}$ is upper-triangular (up to conjugation), we have $u|_{I_p}$ has values in $\mathcal{F}_p^- Ad(X)$.

If further we insist on $d_\xi(W[[\Gamma_F]]) = 0$, since $W[[\Gamma_F]]$ -algebra structure is given by $\delta_p \alpha_p^{-1}$ which is the character of lower right corner of ϱ (restricted to I_p) this means the corresponding cocycle $u|_{I_p}$ has values in $\{(\begin{smallmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix})\}$. Since $\text{Tr}(u) = 0$, we conclude $u|_{I_p} \in \mathcal{F}_p^+ Ad(X)$. If \tilde{L} is finite, we may take $X = \tilde{L}$, and this gives the desired isomorphism, because $\tilde{T} \otimes_A A^* = Ad(\tilde{L})$. If \tilde{L} is not finite, then $\tilde{L} \otimes_A A^*$ can be written as a union of $Ad(X)$ for finite X , and by taking the inductive limit, we get the assertion. \square

If we replace $\mathcal{F}^{+\tilde{?}}$ in the definition of the Selmer group by $\mathcal{F}^{-\tilde{?}}$, we get the “minus” Selmer group $\text{Sel}_F^-(?)$, and by the same argument

$$\text{Sel}_F^-(\tilde{T} \otimes_A A^*)^* \cong \Omega_{\mathcal{R}_F/W} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} A.$$

We can apply the above argument to (R, ρ) . If $\rho \in \Phi(W)$, we have a unique $P \in \text{Spf}(\mathcal{R}_F)(W)$ such that $\varrho \bmod P = \rho$. Then R is canonically isomorphic to the P -adic completion-localization $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_P$ of \mathcal{R} at P and $\rho : \mathfrak{G}_F \xrightarrow{\varrho} GL_2(\mathcal{R}) \rightarrow GL_2(\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_P) = GL_2(R)$. Thus we get

Corollary 2.2. *We have $\Omega_{R/K} \otimes_{R, \varphi_\rho} K \cong \text{Sel}_F^-(V)$ which is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{p|p} K dX_p$ under the conjecture: $R \cong K[[X_p]]$.*

Under the conjecture, the Selmer group $\text{Sel}_{\bar{F}}(V)$ is exactly $\mathbb{H} \subset H^1(\mathfrak{G}, V)$ discussed in the second lecture, and the restriction map takes $\mathbb{H} = \text{Sel}_{\bar{F}}(V)$ into $\text{Sel}_{F_\infty}(V)$ as we have seen. Recall Greenberg's formula for a base $a_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of $\mathbb{H} \stackrel{\text{Res}}{\cong} \mathcal{H}$:

$$\mathcal{L}(Ad(\rho)) = \det \left((a_{\mathfrak{p}}([p, F_{\mathfrak{p}'}]])_{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}'|p} (\log_p(\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}})^{-1} a_{\mathfrak{p}}([\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}'}, F_{\mathfrak{p}'}]])_{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}'|p}^{-1} \right).$$

Then by the above corollary, putting $c_{\mathfrak{p}} = \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial X_{\mathfrak{p}}} \rho^{-1} \Big|_{X=0}$, $\{c_{\mathfrak{p}}\}_{\mathfrak{p}|p}$ is a basis of \mathbb{H} . Then writing $c_{\mathfrak{p}} \sim \begin{pmatrix} -a_{\mathfrak{p}} & * \\ 0 & a_{\mathfrak{p}} \end{pmatrix}$, we can compute the above formula. Note that $a_{\mathfrak{p}} = \delta_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \delta_{\mathfrak{p}}} \Big|_{X=0}$, and $\delta_{\mathfrak{p}}([\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}, F_{\mathfrak{p}}]) = (1 + X_{\mathfrak{p}})$ and $\delta_{\mathfrak{p}}([p_{\mathfrak{p}}, F_{\mathfrak{p}}]) = U(p_{\mathfrak{p}})$. From this we get the formula we stated in the first lecture.

We add the following condition to the deformations \tilde{L} satisfying (W1–4) to make the universal ring small enough to prove $\text{Sel}_F(V/T)$ is finite (and $\text{Sel}_F(V) = 0$). Let Σ_p be the set of all prime factors of p in O . Fix a pair of integers $(\kappa_{1,\mathfrak{p}}, \kappa_{2,\mathfrak{p}})$ for each $\mathfrak{p} \in \Sigma_p$, and write κ for the tuple $(\kappa_{1,\mathfrak{p}}, \kappa_{2,\mathfrak{p}})_{\mathfrak{p}}$. We assume that $[\kappa] = \kappa_{1,\mathfrak{p}} + \kappa_{2,\mathfrak{p}}$ is independent of $\mathfrak{p} \in \Sigma_p$. As an extra condition, we now consider

- (W5) On $\tilde{T}/\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}^+ \tilde{T}$, $\text{Gal}(F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{ur}[\mu_{p^\infty}]/F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{ur})$ acts by the character $\mathcal{N}^{\kappa_{1,\mathfrak{p}}}$ for all $\mathfrak{p}|p$, and $\det(\tilde{T}) = \mathcal{N}^{[\kappa]}$ on an open subgroup of $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

We write $\Phi_{\kappa}(A)$ for the set of isomorphism classes of deformations $\tilde{\rho} : \mathfrak{G}_F \rightarrow GL_2(A)$ of $\bar{\rho}$ satisfying (W1–5). Under (ai_F) or (ds), we have the universal couple $(R_{\kappa,F}, \varrho_{\kappa,F})$ among the deformations satisfying (W1–5). We call $c \in \mathfrak{G}_F$ a complex conjugation, if c is in the conjugacy class of a complex conjugation in $\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$.

Conjecture 2.3. *Suppose (ds) and (ai_F) for $\bar{\rho}$ and that F is totally real. If $\det(\rho)(c) = -1$ for any complex conjugation c , the universal ring $R_{\kappa,F}$ is free of finite rank over W , and $R_{\kappa,F}$ is a reduced local complete intersection if $\kappa_{2,\mathfrak{p}} - \kappa_{1,\mathfrak{p}} \geq 1$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \Sigma_p$.*

Here a reduced algebra A free of finite rank over $W[[x_1, \dots, x_t]]$ is a *local complete intersection* over $R = W[[x_1, \dots, x_t]]$ if $A \cong R[[T_1, \dots, T_r]]/(f_1(T), \dots, f_r(T))$ for r power series $f_i(T)$, where r is the number of variables in $R[[T_1, \dots, T_r]]$. Though the assertion of $R_{\kappa,F}$ being a local complete intersection is technical, as we will see later, this claim is a key to relating the size of the Selmer group with the corresponding L -value. In the classical setting of Galois representations associated to elliptic modular forms of weight k (in $S_k(\Gamma_1(N))$), we have $\kappa = (0, k - 1)$. Thus the condition $\kappa_{2,\mathfrak{p}} - \kappa_{1,\mathfrak{p}} \geq 1$ is equivalent to requiring $k \geq 2$.

Theorem 2.4 (Wiles, Taylor, Fujiwara). *Suppose that the initial representation ρ is associated to a Hilbert modular form of p -power level (in this case, we call ρ modular). If (ai_M) holds for $M = F[\mu_p]$, Conjecture 2.3 holds.*

See Fujiwara's paper: arXiv.math.NT/0602606. A more general version of this theorem is proven as Theorem 3.67 and Corollary 3.42 in [HMI].

Proposition 2.5. *Assume Conjecture 2.3. Then*

- (1) $\text{Sel}_F(\text{Ad}(\tilde{\rho}) \otimes_W W^*)$ is finite for any $\tilde{\rho} \in \Phi_k(W)$ and $R \cong K[[X_{\mathfrak{p}}]]_{\mathfrak{p} \in \Sigma_p}$ if $\kappa_{2,\mathfrak{p}} - \kappa_{1,\mathfrak{p}} \geq 1$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \Sigma_p$,
- (2) \mathcal{R}_F is a reduced local complete intersection free of finite rank over $W[[\Gamma_F]]$,
- (3) $\text{Sel}_F^*(\text{Ad}(\varrho_F) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_F} \mathcal{R}_F^*)$ is a torsion \mathcal{R}_F -module,
- (4) For an irreducible component $\text{Spf}(\mathbb{I})$ of $\text{Spf}(\mathcal{R}_F)$, write $\rho_{\mathbb{I}} = \pi \circ \varrho_F$ for the projection $\pi : \mathcal{R}_F \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$. Then $\text{Sel}_F^*(\text{Ad}(\rho_{\mathbb{I}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{I}} \mathbb{I}^*)$ is a torsion \mathbb{I} -module.

Proof. If R is reduced and free of finite rank over W , $\Omega_{R/W}$ is a finite module. Thus the first assertion follows. Note that $P_{\kappa} = \text{Ker}(\kappa : W[[\Gamma_F]] \rightarrow W)$ is generated by $((1 + x_{\mathfrak{p}}) - \mathcal{N}(\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}})^{\kappa_{1,\mathfrak{p}}})$ for $\mathfrak{p} \in S$. Thus $\bigcap_{\kappa} P_{\kappa} = \{0\}$. Since $\mathcal{R}_F/P_{\kappa}\mathcal{R}_F \cong R_{\kappa,F}$ which is free of finite rank s over W , by Nakayama's lemma, \mathcal{R}_F is generated by s elements r_1, \dots, r_s over $W[[\Gamma_F]]$ which give a basis of $R_{\kappa,F}$ over W . Thus we have a surjective $W[[\Gamma_F]]$ -linear map $\iota : W[[\Gamma_F]]^s \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_F$ sending (a_1, \dots, a_s) to $\sum_j a_j r_j$. Taking another κ' , we find that $\mathcal{R}_F/P_{\kappa'}\mathcal{R}_F \cong R_{\kappa',F}$ which is free over W ; so, it has to be free of rank s over W . Thus $\text{Ker}(\iota) \subset P_{\kappa'}^s$ for all κ' ; so, ι has to be an isomorphism. This shows the freeness in the second assertion.

Let C be the set of all $\kappa = (\kappa_{\mathfrak{p}})_{\mathfrak{p}}$ such that $\kappa_{2,\mathfrak{p}} - \kappa_{1,\mathfrak{p}} \geq 1$ for all \mathfrak{p} . Then we still have $\bigcap_{\kappa \in C} P_{\kappa} = \{0\}$. Thus the natural W -algebra homomorphism $\mathcal{R}_F \rightarrow \prod_{\kappa \in C} R_{\kappa,F}$ is an injection. The right-hand side is reduced (i.e., no nilpotent radical), and \mathcal{R}_F is reduced.

We write

$$R_{\kappa} = \mathcal{R}/P_{\kappa} = \frac{W[[T_1, \dots, T_r]]}{(\bar{f}_1(T), \dots, \bar{f}_r(T))}.$$

Write $\bar{t}_j \in \mathfrak{m}_{R_{\kappa}}$ for the image of T_j in R_{κ} . Take a lift t_j in $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{R}}$ of \bar{t}_j so that $\bar{t}_j = (t_j \text{ mod } P_{\kappa}\mathcal{R})$. Define $\varphi : W[[\Gamma_F]][[T_1, \dots, T_r]] \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_F$ by $\varphi(f(T_1, \dots, T_r)) = f(t_1, \dots, t_r)$. Since \mathcal{R}_F is $W[[\Gamma_F]]$ -free, $\text{Ker}(\varphi) \otimes_{W[[\Gamma_F]], \kappa} W = (\bar{f}_1, \dots, \bar{f}_r)$; so, taking a lift $f_j \in \text{Ker}(\varphi)$ of \bar{f}_j , we find $\text{Ker}(\varphi) = (f_1, \dots, f_r)$ by Nakayama's lemma, and hence \mathcal{R}_F is a local complete intersection over $W[[\Gamma_F]]$.

Since \mathcal{R}_F is reduced and finite over $W[[\Gamma_F]]$, $\Omega_{\mathcal{R}_F/W[[\Gamma_F]]}$ is a torsion \mathcal{R}_F -module. From this, the last two assertions follow. Since $R_{\kappa,F} = \mathcal{R}_F/P_{\kappa}\mathcal{R}_F$ is reduced, $\text{Spf}(\mathcal{R}_F)$ is étale over $\text{Spf}(W[[\Gamma_F]])$ around $\rho = P$; so, $R = \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_P \cong K[[X_{\mathfrak{p}}]]$. This finishes the proof. \square

Since \mathcal{R}_F is reduced and free of finite rank over $W[[\Gamma_F]]$, its total quotient ring Q is a product of fields of finite dimension over the field \mathcal{K} of fractions of $W[[\Gamma_F]]$. For simplicity, we assume that $\mathbb{I} = W[[\Gamma_F]]$. In particular, writing \mathbb{K} for the field of fractions of \mathbb{I} , we have $Q = \mathbb{K} \oplus X$ for a complementary ring direct summand X . Let \mathbb{I}' be the projection of \mathcal{R}_F to X . Then $\text{Spf}(\mathcal{R}_F) = \text{Spf}(\mathbb{I}) \cup \text{Spf}(\mathbb{I}')$ (and $\text{Spf}(\mathbb{I}')$ is the union of irreducible components other than $\text{Spf}(\mathbb{I})$). We take the intersection $\text{Spf}(C_0) = \text{Spf}(\mathbb{I}) \cap \text{Spf}(\mathbb{I}')$; so, $C_0 = \mathbb{I} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_F} \mathbb{I}'$, which is a torsion \mathbb{I} -module called the *congruence module* of \mathbb{I} (or of $\text{Spf}(\mathbb{I})$). It is easy to see that

$\mathbb{I} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_F} \mathbb{I}' \cong \mathbb{I} / ((\mathbb{K} \oplus 0) \cap \mathcal{R}_F)$ (cf. [H88] 6.3). By the above expression, the $W[[\Gamma_F]]$ -freeness tells us that $\text{char}_{\mathbb{I}}(C_0) = (\mathbb{K} \oplus 0) \cap \mathcal{R}_F$ is an intersection of a power of prime divisors (cf. [BCM] 7.4.2). Since $\mathbb{I} = W[[\Gamma_F]]$ is regular, and hence $\text{char}(C_0)$ is a principal ideal generated by $h \in \mathbb{I}$. For this conclusion, we do not need the isomorphism $\mathbb{I} \cong W[[\Gamma_F]] = W[[x_p]]_{\mathfrak{p}}$ but a milder condition that \mathbb{I} is a Gorenstein ring over $W[[\Gamma_F]]$ is enough (that is, $\text{Hom}_{W[[\Gamma_F]]}(\mathbb{I}, W[[\Gamma_F]]) \cong \mathbb{I}$ as \mathbb{I} -modules; see [H88] Theorem 6.8). Note that a local complete intersection over $W[[\Gamma_F]]$ is a Gorenstein ring (e.g., [CRT] Theorem 21.3). Now by a theorem of Tate (e.g., [MFG] 5.3.4),

$$\text{char}(\Omega_{\mathcal{R}_F/W[[\Gamma_F]]} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_F} \mathbb{I}) = \text{char}(C_0) = (h).$$

We have for any prime ideal $P \in \text{Spf}(\mathbb{I})$ with $\iota : \mathbb{I}/P \cong W$, writing $\rho_P = \iota \circ \rho_{\mathbb{I}} : \mathfrak{G}_F \rightarrow GL_2(W)$

$$\text{Sel}_F^*(Ad(\rho_P) \otimes_W W^*) \cong \Omega_{\mathcal{R}_F/W[[\Gamma_F]]} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_F, P} W \cong \text{Sel}_F^*(Ad(\rho_{\mathbb{I}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{I}} \mathbb{I}^*) \otimes_{\mathbb{I}} \mathbb{I}/P.$$

This shows that if $\text{char}(\text{Sel}_F^*(Ad(\rho_{\mathbb{I}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{I}} \mathbb{I}^*)) = (h)$ for $h \in \mathbb{I}$, we have

$$\text{char}(\text{Sel}_F^*(Ad(\rho_P) \otimes_W W^*)) = (h(P)),$$

where $h(P) = (h \bmod P) \in W$. Thus we get

Corollary 2.6. *We have $|\text{Sel}_F^*(Ad(\rho_P) \otimes_W W^*)| = |h(P)|_p^{-[K:\mathbb{Q}_p]}$ for all $P \in \text{Spf}(\mathbb{I})(W)$.*

In this corollary, we do not preclude the case where $\text{Sel}_F^*(Ad(\rho_P) \otimes_W W^*)$ is infinite. In such an extreme case, simply $h(P) = 0$ and, hence, $|h(P)|_p^{-[K:\mathbb{Q}_p]} = \infty$.

REFERENCES

Books

- [ACM] G. Shimura, *Abelian Varieties with Complex Multiplication and Modular Functions*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1998.
- [BCM] N. Bourbaki, *Algèbre Commutative*, Hermann, Paris, 1961–83
- [CRT] H. Matsumura, *Commutative Ring Theory*, Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics **8**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1986
- [HMI] H. Hida, *Hilbert Modular Forms and Iwasawa Theory*, in press
- [MFG] H. Hida, *Modular Forms and Galois Cohomology*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **69**, 2000, Cambridge University Press

Articles

- [FeG] L. Federer and B. H. Gross, Regulators and Iwasawa Modules, *Inventiones Math.* **62** (1981), 443–457
- [G] R. Greenberg, Trivial zeros of p -adic L -functions, *Contemporary Math.* **165** (1994), 149–174
- [GS] R. Greenberg and G. Stevens, p -adic L -functions and p -adic periods of modular forms, *Inventiones Math.* **111** (1993), 407–447
- [GS1] R. Greenberg and G. Stevens, On the conjecture of Mazur, Tate, and Teitelbaum, *Contemporary Math.* **165** (1994), 183–211

- [H88] H. Hida, Modules of congruence of Hecke algebras and L -functions associated with cusp forms, Amer. J. Math. **110** (1988) 323–382
- [H00] H. Hida, Adjoint Selmer groups as Iwasawa modules, Israel Journal of Math. **120** (2000), 361–427
- [H04] H. Hida, Greenberg’s \mathcal{L} -invariants of adjoint square Galois representations, IMRN. **59** (2004), 3177–3189 (preprint downloadable at www.math.ucla.edu/~hida)
- [HT] H. Hida and J. Tilouine, Katz p -adic L -functions, congruence modules and deformation of Galois representations, LMS Lecture notes **153** (1991), 271–293.
- [HT1] H. Hida and J. Tilouine, Anticyclotomic Katz p -adic L -functions and congruence modules, Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Sup. 4th series **26** (1993), 189–259.
- [HT2] H. Hida and J. Tilouine, On the anticyclotomic main conjecture for CM fields, Inventiones Math. **117** (1994), 89–147.
- [K] N. M. Katz, p -adic L -functions for CM fields, Inventiones Math. **49** (1978), 199–297.
- [MTT] B. Mazur, J. Tate and J. Teitelbaum, On p -adic analogues of the conjectures of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer, Inventiones Math. **84** (1986), 1–48.
- [W1] A. Wiles, Modular elliptic curves and Fermat’s last theorem, Ann. of Math. **141** (1995), 443–551